



1
2
3
4 **McLean Citizens Association Resolution**
5 **Meals Tax Referendum**
6 **September 7, 2016**
7
8
9

10 **Executive Summary**

11
12 **Whereas**, the quality of life in our community depends to a great extent on the services that Fair-
13 fax County (County) provides – including education for our children, police and fire protection,
14 parks and libraries - and the costs of providing these services continue to rise; and

15
16 **Whereas**, the County is challenged to pay the costs of these services in large part because of a
17 state formula (the Local Composite Index) that allocates insufficient funds to Fairfax County
18 Public Schools (FCPS) for K-12 education, ongoing effects of federal government sequestration,
19 the high cost of retirement income plans, and high vacancy rates for commercial property; and

20
21 **Whereas**, the Board of Supervisors placed a referendum on the November 8 general election bal-
22 lot that would allow the Supervisors to implement a County meals tax at a rate of up to 4%, rais-
23 ing up to an estimated \$96 million per year, effective July 1, 2017; and

24
25 **Whereas**, the benefits of the meals tax, cited by the Board of Supervisors, are to

- 26
27
 - Reduce dependence on real estate taxes,
 - Raise revenue from visitors who dine out in the County, and
 - Dedicate revenues to the FCPS; and

30
31 **Whereas**, regardless of the result of the meals tax referendum, the Board of Supervisors and
32 School Board are obligated to improve the financial conditions of the County and FCPS through
33 efficiencies and cost savings; and

34
35
36 **Meals Tax**

37
38 **Whereas**, state laws and regulations limit or control almost 90% of the County’s non-property
39 tax revenues, focus the burden of funding County services on real estate taxes, and therefore re-
40 quire increases in property values and higher real estate tax rates to pay for cost increases; and
41

42 **Whereas**, the Board of Supervisors requested approval for the referendum from the Fairfax
43 County Circuit Court and advised the Court that the purposes of the meals tax are for the County
44 “to broaden the revenue base and reduce dependency on real property taxes;” and
45

46 **Whereas**, when the County and FCPS identify cash shortfalls in the future to pay for existing
47 and/or proposed programs, those shortfalls could be funded in full or in part by revenue from the
48 meals tax instead of by higher real estate tax increases; and
49

50 **Whereas**, the County projects a cash shortfall in its Budget for Fiscal Year 2018 (FY 2018),
51 which starts on July 1, 2017, and FCPS indicated it will request additional funds from the
52 County to pay for higher teacher salaries and other programs in FY 2018 and beyond; and
53

54 **Whereas**, early indications are that the sizes of these FY 2018 cash shortfalls may be significant
55 and require funding from a further increase in the real estate tax rate in FY 2018 or, alternatively,
56 the meals tax if approved; and
57

58 **Whereas**, the revenue from a 4% meals tax is estimated to be approximately equal to the reve-
59 nue from a four cent (\$.04) increase in the real estate tax rate; and
60

61 **Whereas**, according to the County’s meals tax filing, non-County residents such as commuters,
62 travelers and tourists who dine out in the County would pay an estimated 28% of the meals tax;
63 and
64

65 **Whereas**, according to the County’s meals tax filing, the revenue generated by the tax would be
66 dedicated 70% to FCPS and 30% to County services, capital improvements, and real estate tax
67 relief; and
68

69 **Whereas**, the meals tax could provide a stable source of funding to FCPS to help meet its priori-
70 ties and could reduce the need for further increases in transfers from the County; and
71

72 **Whereas**, homeowners are legally required to pay their property taxes, but they can choose not
73 to buy prepared food and therefore choose not to pay meals taxes; and
74

75 **Whereas**, towns inside the County and surrounding jurisdictions already have meals taxes, in-
76 cluding Herndon (2.5%), Vienna (3%), Alexandria (4%), Arlington (4%), Fairfax City (4%),
77 Falls Church (4%), Manassas (4%), Manassas Park (4%) and Washington, D.C. (4% on a com-
78 parable basis); and
79

80 **Whereas**, the County would reimburse restaurants 3% or about \$3 million of the meals tax reve-
81 nue for their cost of administering the tax; and
82

83 **Whereas**, the demand for restaurant meals is relatively stable (inelastic) in response to price
84 changes such as an increase caused by a meals tax, little information is available on the effect of
85 a meals tax on restaurant sales (the National Restaurant Association reports having no research
86 showing a negative impact on sales), and restaurant revenue increased annually in Arlington fol-
87 lowing its adoption of a meals tax in 1991; and

88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132

Whereas, MCA has examined closely the proposal to institute a meals tax to determine its potential negative implications for local businesses and residents and has concluded that, on balance, the proposed meals tax would provide greater potential benefits than economic disadvantages.

Now, therefore, be it resolved that McLean Citizens Association supports passage of the proposed meals tax and encourages the community to vote in favor of it, taking into account the benefits of reducing the County’s dependence on residential real estate taxes, providing dedicated funding to FCPS, and raising revenue from visitors to the County; and

Be it further resolved that McLean Citizens Association urges the Board of Supervisors, if the meals tax passes, not to increase the real estate tax rate for FY 2018 above the current rate of \$1.13 per \$100 of assessed value and to reduce that tax rate if possible, consistent with the stated purpose of reducing dependence on real estate taxes.

Efficiencies and Cost Savings

Whereas, a meals tax would not affect the obligations of the Board of Supervisors and School Board to evaluate the cost effectiveness of programs, eliminate or restructure cost-ineffective programs, and find other efficiencies that lower operating costs; and

Whereas, the County manages and/or funds, directly or indirectly, five pension plans covering approximately 64,000 current and former employees of the County and FCPS; and

Whereas, these pension plans are underfunded in the aggregate by \$4.2 billion, including underfunding for the Virginia Retirement System (VRS) allocable to FCPS employees and retirees, and the amount of pension underfunding will continue to increase; and

Whereas, the County and FCPS contributed approximately \$542 million to these pension plans in FY 2015, and that amount will continue to grow and increasingly crowd out funding of core government services such as education, public safety, and infrastructure; and

Whereas, the County is undertaking Line of Business reviews, which incorporate community feedback, to evaluate all County programs and services to determine if they are run effectively and efficiently to achieve the desired outcomes and ultimately to prioritize and develop a sustainable financial plan; and

Whereas, the County’s Line of Business reviews may underperform their potential effectiveness if they do not fully benchmark the County’s programs against third party best practices, governmental as well as corporate; and

Whereas, while FCPS has an annual Program Budget that outlines each of its programs, FCPS staff conduct a few program evaluations and the Audit Office conducts a few performance audits

133 annually, FCPS does not have a Line of Business review process that evaluates all FCPS pro-
134 grams and services, with community feedback, to determine if they are run effectively and effi-
135 ciently to achieve the desired outcomes and ultimately to prioritize and develop a sustainable fi-
136 nancial plan for the school system; and

137
138 **Whereas**, on May 26, 2016, the School Board adopted a policy (Policy 1105 on the Auditor
139 General’s Authority), which stated that the School Board was committed to “ensuring that public
140 funds are spent wisely and responsibly, operations are efficient, and there is full transparency in
141 all FCPS business activities.

142
143 **Now, therefore, be it resolved** that McLean Citizens Association urges the Board of Supervi-
144 sors and the School Board to restructure the County and FCPS retirement income plans to new
145 employees such that the net cost of those benefits to the County and FCPS is no greater than the
146 net cost under the VRS hybrid pension plan; and

147
148 **Be it further resolved** that the McLean Citizens Association urges the Board of Supervisors to
149 ensure that the Line of Business reviews include complete and impartial benchmarking against
150 government and corporate best practices; and

151
152 **Be it further resolved** that the McLean Citizens Association urges the School Board to direct
153 the FCPS Superintendent to conduct, prior to the submission of the FCPS FY 2019 proposed
154 budget, complete Line of Business reviews for all FCPS programs and operations, comparable to
155 that being conducted by the County, to determine if FCPS programs are run effectively and effi-
156 ciently to achieve the desired outcomes and ultimately to prioritize and develop a sustainable fi-
157 nancial plan for the school system, and to use the results of these Line of Business reviews in de-
158 veloping the FY 2019 proposed FCPS budget; and

159
160 **Be it further resolved** that the McLean Citizen Association urges the School Board to direct
161 FCPS to solicit community input for all Line of Business reviews, as has the County Executive
162 for the County Line of Business reviews.

163
164 *Approved by the MCA Board of Directors*
165 *September 7, 2016*

166
167 McLean Citizens Association, PO Box 273, McLean, Virginia 22101

168
169 Copies to:
170 Fairfax County Board of Supervisors
171 John Foust, Dranesville District Supervisor
172 Edward Long, Fairfax County Executive
173 Jane Edmondson, Chief of Staff to John Foust
174 Fairfax County School Board
175 Jane Strauss, Dranesville District Board Member
176 Karen Garza, Fairfax County Public School Superintendent