

# McLean Citizens Association



The Voice of McLean for Over 100 Years

## McLean Citizens Association Resolution Fairfax County Pension Plans: Next Steps January 4, 2017

**Whereas**, McLean Citizens Association has issued a separate resolution regarding the total cost to Fairfax County (County) of the pension plans to which the County and Fairfax Public Schools (FCPS) provide funding; and

**Whereas**, that overview resolution advised that the County and FCPS have made cash payments to the pension plans and have increased their unfunded debt to the pension plans, particularly in Fiscal Year (FY) 2016, in amounts which may warrant significant and timely changes to the pension plans; and

**Whereas**, the purpose of this resolution is to explain the primary cost issues for the County pension plans and to make recommendations regarding goals and a process for restructuring the County pension plans; and

**Whereas**, McLean Citizens Association plans to issue a separate resolution regarding the FCPS pension plans; and

### **Value of Retirement Benefits Paid to Participants**

**Whereas**, Fairfax County manages and funds three separate pension plans for County employees: County Employees' plan (which includes 20% of FCPS employees), Police Officers plan, and Uniformed plan; and

**Whereas**, there currently are a total of 29,364 active, retired, and terminated employees (Participants) who are entitled to pensions under these plans; and

**Whereas**, the County Employees' plan is by far the largest of the County's three pension plans, with more than 80% of the combined total Participants in the pension plans, serves as a model for the other two County plans, and is therefore the focal point for this resolution (unless noted otherwise); and

**Whereas**, the County's three pension plans are similar in structure, providing Participants with a monthly payment from the time of retirement (a minimum age of 55 for new employees in the Employees' plan), which increases with cost-of-living adjustments; and

**Whereas,** Participants also receive a Pre-Social Security Supplement from the time of retirement to the time the Participant qualifies for full Social Security benefits; and

**Whereas,** Aon Hewitt was engaged by the County to review its pension plans and reported its findings to the County in 2012, and the County staff included the Aon Hewitt findings in a presentation to the Board of Supervisors as recently as October 2016; and

**Whereas,** the Aon Hewitt study (Study) concludes that “the County Employees’ Retirement System benefit plans are very strong, being more generous than those of your competitor group,” and this conclusion understates the “generosity” of the plans because this statement excluded Pre-Social Security Supplements and cost-of-living increases; and

**Whereas,** the Study shows that the County Employees’ plan pays benefits to its Participants which exceed all competitors against which the County asked to be compared, including six neighboring counties, the Virginia Retirement System and the Federal Government, and exceeds the average and the median of the neighboring counties by more than 67%; and

**Whereas,** the Total Value of Benefits paid to Participants, including Pre-Social Security Supplements and cost-of-living increases and excluding the value of benefits funded by the employees themselves, are reported in the Study as follows (Appendix C, page 5):

**Total Value of Benefit per Participant  
Funded by Employer**

<b>Pension Plan</b>	<b>Cost to Employer (\$)</b>
<b>Fairfax Plan A</b>	<b>303,135</b>
<b>Alexandria</b>	<b>173,449</b>
<b>Arlington</b>	<b>301,677</b>
<b>Loudoun</b>	<b>115,608</b>
<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>160,935</b>
<b>Prince Georges</b>	<b>218,426</b>
<b>Prince William</b>	<b>115,608</b>
<b>VRS Post 7/1/10</b>	<b>119,347</b>
<b>Federal Gov’t</b>	<b>265,006</b>
<b>Average County Excluding Fairfax</b>	<b>180,951</b>

**Whereas,** the benefit levels shown above are based on an average non-school Fairfax County employee who retires at age 61, with 21 years of service, and a salary at retirement of \$65,000; and

**Whereas,** these benefit levels are shown in today’s dollars - how much it would cost the County if it put enough money in an account today, earning interest at 7.5% per year, to pay all of the Participant’s pension benefits when they are due (which is called a “net present value”); and

**Whereas**, the County in reality is likely to pay its pension plan Participants a multiple of these numbers in actual dollars of the day over the Participant’s lifetime (taking the interest on these funds at 7.5% per year into account); and

**Whereas**, the Fairfax County Retirement Policy, as revised in January 2001, states that the goal for income replacement at retirement is between 60% to 80% of final pay, and general policy is not to exceed 100% at any point of retirement, including when Social Security benefits become payable; and

**Whereas**, considering the magnitude by which the benefits paid by the County plan exceed the benefits paid by its peers, the County plan is likely to exceed 80% of final pay; and

**Whereas**, following issuance of the Study in 2012, the County revised the pension plan for County Employees starting work on or after January 1, 2013, mainly by increasing the age when full benefits are payable from a Rule of 80 to a Rule of 85; and

**Whereas**, the County’s 2013 changes are not resulting in substantial savings in a meaningful time frame, with an expected cost saving of only \$11.5 million per year by FY 2027; and

**Whereas**, the value of the Pre-Social Security Supplement funded by the County is \$55,818 per Participant and is included in the County plan value of \$303,135 shown above (Appendix C, page 5); and

**Whereas**, the Study shows no neighboring county paying such a Pre-Social Security Supplement, and the Federal Government paying a supplement of only \$13,183 per Participant; and

**Whereas**, the Study identified “Specific Areas where Costs of the Defined Benefit Plan Might be Reduced,” cited the Pre-Social Security supplement as its first issue (page 73), advised that it is a major contributor to the relative “generosity” of the County Employees’ plan compared with the plans of other jurisdictions and might well be considered for paring back to reduce the costs and generosity of the County plan the (page 74); and

**Cost to the County**

**Whereas**, as of June 30, 2016, the three County pension plans had a total Pension Liability of \$8.4 billion and are under-funded by \$1.9 billion, an increase in under-funding of \$0.4 billion over the prior year; and

**Whereas**, the County contributed to the three plans approximately \$262 million in FY 2016, an increase of \$25 million compared to the prior year and an increase of \$90 million per year compared to FY 2011; and

**Whereas**, the County’s annual contributions will continue to increase as the County meets its commitment to Moody’s to reach its Annual Required Contribution level by 2020 and as the number of Participants continues to grow and earn additional benefits each year; and

**Whereas**, a reduction in pension plan costs could benefit the County, its employees, and the community, including

- Funds for Other Priorities – Additional funds for education, police and fire, libraries, parks, and other priority County services,
- More Competitive Salaries - Ability to redirect funding for compensation into higher, more competitive salaries for employees,
- Portability – Ability to redirect funds into matching employee contributions to 401K-type (defined contribution ) plans to encourage employee saving, provide what employees feel is a more attractive form of compensation, and permit them to secure retirement savings even if they do not qualify under long term pension plan rules, and
- Taxes – Reduction in the pressure for real estate property tax increases paid by County residents.

**Now, therefore, be it resolved** that McLean Citizens Association urges the Board of Supervisors to take the following steps to reduce the growth in the County’s future obligations for retirement benefit payments:

- Proceed with the County’s Retirement System Review on an expedited basis, with completion in time to implement changes in the FY 2019 Budget, because of the significance and urgency of the issue,
- Define the purpose of the Review as the recommendation of meaningful structural changes to the pension plans for all new and non-vested employees such that the net cost of those benefits to the County would not exceed the cost of the Virginia Retirement System hybrid pension plan,
- Define the purpose to include the elimination of the Pre-Social Security Supplement for all new and non-vested employees and for all vested employees,
- Define the scope of work to include consideration of a new plan similar to the Virginia Retirement System hybrid pension plan,
- Vest responsibility for the Review with a commission, committee, or task force reporting to the Board of Supervisors which, in addition to County employees, has a preponderance of financial and personnel professionals with experience in retirement benefit plans who are not employees of the County, to ensure objectivity, no appearance of conflicts of interest, and adequate specialized skill sets, and
- Engage Aon Hewitt or another reputable consultant to update the 2012 Study and to recommend the courses of action which the County should consider, recognizing that

no individual is likely to have the depth of experience and knowledge of an actuary and pension consultant necessary to advise on these matters.

*Approved by the MCA Board of Directors*

*January 4, 2017*

---

McLean Citizens Association, PO Box 273, McLean, Virginia 22101

cc: Fairfax County Board of Supervisors  
John Foust, Dranesville District Supervisor  
Edward Long, Fairfax County Executive  
Jane Edmondson, Chief of Staff to John Foust  
Fairfax County School Board  
Jane Strauss, Dranesville District School Board Member  
Steven Lockard, Interim FCPS Superintendent